

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/200,657	HEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Collins	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Cynthia Collins.

(2) Barry Wilson.

Status of Application: response to nonfinal action filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 none

Claims discussed:
 87, 89, 90


Prior art documents discussed:
 none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

amending claim 87 to depend from claim 83, amending claim 89 to recite "single immunoglobulin polypeptide", and amending claim 90 to recite "IgG, IgM, IgA, IgD and IgE heavy chains", by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)